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Application of correspondence analysis to the identification of the influence of features of unemployed persons on the unemployment duration

Jacek Batóg, Barbara Batóg

Abstract: The paper presents the analysis of the influence of selected features of unemployed persons on unemployment duration. Theoretical considerations go along with an empirical study based on individual data from Local Labour Office in Szczecin. The research hypothesis states that features of unemployed persons such as the level of education, sex, work seniority or age have a meaningful influence on unemployment duration. Recent studies usually used event history analysis, inflow-outflow analysis of the labour market or logit models. This research is unique because of the application of one of the methods of multidimensional analysis – correspondence analysis. This method allows analysis of multidimensional relationships between categories of nominal variables. From the results obtained it could be stated that strong relationships between unemployment duration and features of unemployed persons exist (apart from sex).

Keywords: unemployment duration, correspondence analysis.

JEL codes: J64, C38.

Introduction

The level of unemployment in the economy is the function of current labour demand that above all depends on the stage of the business cycle and such factors as the level of development that influences the sectoral structure of the economy and efficiency of the institutional environment. The increase of economic activity in Poland in 2004–2008 caused the decrease of the liquidity ratio of unemployment calculated as the ratio of the number of newly registered unemployed persons to deregistered persons [Batóg et al. 2010]. This phenomenon was also observed in other countries [Corak 1996]. A positive influence
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of sectoral structure on the low level of unemployment is not always the case because an increase of employment (especially in short run) occurs only if the labour market is elastic [Kwiatkowski, Kucharski, and Tokarski 2002]. Labour demand changes strongly more often than labour supply. The characteristic of labour demand is the big diversification according to the sectoral, spatial and professional structures [Batóg et al. 2016]. The share of labour in the national product that depends on the level of labour productivity, minimal wage and hours worked influences the number of employed persons as well as the number of unemployed persons [Beaudry and Collard 2002; Izyumov and Vahaly 2014: 697].

The level of employment and especially difficulties in finding work by unemployed persons is related not only to macroeconomic factors but also to the intensity of seeking work and the probability of accepting a given work offer [Wadsworth 1991; Eriksson, Reija, and Hege 2002]. The latter depends on the individual demographic and socio-economic characteristics of the persons seeking work, their history of occupations and non-observed factors such as the level of motivation for seeking work [Colier 2003; Daras and Jerzak 2005]. The chance of finding work depends on previous periods of unemployment because discrimination against such persons often occurs. Unemployment causes the decrease of human capital and the employers offer lower wages that are not attractive to unemployed persons [Carroll 2006: 306].

The main goal of the research is an analysis of the relationships between unemployment duration and the individual characteristics of unemployed persons such as the level of education, sex, type of work undertaken and age. Previous studies concerning unemployment duration were most often conducted with the application of event history analysis, inflow-outflow analysis of the labour market [Socha and Sztanderska 2002; Wysocki and Kołodziejczak 2007], probit and logit models [Ahn, de la Rica, and Ugidos 1999]. The current study is different from others because it applies a correspondence analysis that is one of the multidimensional methods. Correspondence analysis allows the analysis of multidimensional relationships between categories of nominal and ordinal variables. Most of features of the unemployed person is nominal or ordinal and the rest can be transformed into such variables. They could also be analysed by means of other methods but their level of complication rapidly increases in the case of the increasing number of combinations of categories. Additionally, contrary to other methods, correspondence analysis does not need many assumptions and can be used in the case of poor quality results of other methods.

The paper consists of six sections. The first section is introduction. The second section contains literature review and discussion on previous results. The next section is devoted to methods applied. In the fourth section examined variables are specified. The fifth section deals with empirical results of the research. The paper is closed with conclusions. In the research the data on 25,854 unemployed persons registered in the Local Labour Office in Szczecin and ob-
served for one year were used. The same period of observation of unemployed persons was also suggested by other authors because unemployment duration could be determined by different factors for different length time without work [Grzenda 2012: 124].

1. Literature review

The phenomenon of unemployment including the probability of finding work and unemployment duration was widely studied by many researchers. Their works were both theoretical and empirical. The problem of the intensity of seeking work is more rarely analysed [Taşçı 2008]. Most results of the analyses shows that a higher level of education shortens the duration of unemployment [Kupets 2006, data: Ukrainian Longitudinal Monitoring Survey, 1998–2002, Cox proportional hazard model; Bieszk-Stolorz and Markowicz 2011, data: Local Labour Office Szczecin 2011, 2009, survival analysis for censored data, Kaplan-Meier estimator, Cox regression; Grogan and van den Berg 2001, data: Russian Longitudinal Monitoring Survey, 1994–1996, Cox proportional hazard model; Mavridis 2015, data: British Household Panel Survey, 1991–2006, survival functions, Kaplan-Meier estimator, Cox proportional hazard model]. The same conclusion could be found in Kerckhofes, de Neubourg, and Palm [1994]; Ahn, de la Rica, and Ugidos [1999]; Daras and Jerzak [2005]; Carroll [2006]; Grzenda [2012]; Sasaki, Kohara, and Machikita [2013]; Kołodziejczak and Wysocki [2013]; Rotaru [2014]. More rarely one can find results showing that a lower level of education increases the chances for getting work [Stetsenko, 2003, 2001–2003, Cox proportional hazard model, piecewise exponential models]. The same result with the application of the Cox hazard model was obtained by Dynarski and Sheffrin [1990]; Arntz and Wilke [2009] whereas the lack of a significant influence of the level of education on the probability of finding work is presented in Kerckhofes, de Neubourg, and Palm [1994] and Long [2009].

The analyses of the influence of the health condition on unemployment duration indicate that the average time of seeking work is longer for disabled persons than for persons without disabilities. So disabled people have lower chances of obtaining work [Dânăcică and Cirnu 2014, data: National Agency for Employment Romania, 2008–2010, survival function, Cox proportional hazard model; Carroll 2006; Analiză 2003].

Short work seniority or no work experience diminishes the chances for getting work [Ahn, de la Rica and Ugidos 1999, data: Spanish Labour Force Survey, 1992–1995, ordered probit model; Batóg at al. 2000; Carroll 2006]. In the case of the influence of sex on unemployment duration quite often it is stated that men have greater chances of getting work [Stetsenko 2003; Daras and Jerzak 2005, BAEL, 1993–2003, logit model]. Some authors found that women have higher chances of getting work [Bieszk-Stolorz and Markowicz 2011; Grogan
and van den Berg 2001; Mavridis 2015; Landmesser 2013]. Quite rarely results confirming no influence of sex on unemployment duration are presented [Grzenda 2012, data: Budgets of Households, 2008–2009, semiparametric Bayesian Cox model]. The majority of publications argue that marital status and family situation have a significant impact on unemployment duration. There is a difference between women and men. Married men have higher chances of getting work and their unemployment duration is shorter [Kupets 2006; Long 2009; Grzenda 2012]. However married women have lower chances for getting work and their unemployment duration is longer [Stetsenko 2003]. The same conclusion for women and men is formulated in Dynarski and Sheffrin [1990], and for women in Sasaki, Kohara, and Machikita [2013]. Having children decreases the unemployment period and increases the probability of getting work [Rotaru 2014, data: ABS Longitudinal Labour Force Survey, 2008–2010, survival functions and models, ordered logit models, random effects models]. Carroll [2006] indicates that the unemployment duration is longer for women with children.

In most empirical works opinion on the negative influence of age on unemployment duration dominates – older people have lower chances for getting work than younger ones [Kupets 2006; Stetsenko 2003; Bieszk-Stolorz and Markowicz 2011; Arntz and Wilke 2009, data: Sample of the Integrated Employment Biographies, 2000–2002, Cox proportional hazard model; Mavridis 2015]. The same results are presented in Ahn, de la Rica, and Ugidos [1999]; Sasaki, Kohara, and Machikita [2013]; Daras and Jerzak [2005]; Long [2009]; Kołodziejczak and Wysocki [2013]; Rotaru [2014]. Some authors found a positive correlation between the level of unemployment benefits and the length of unemployment [Stetsenko 2003; Bover, Arellano, and Bentotila 2002, data: Labour Force Survey, 1987–1994, discrete hazard model; Roed and Zhang 2003; Arulampalam and Stewart 1995; Belzil 2001; Carroll 2006; Lalive 2007; Roed, Jensen, and Thoursie 2008; Arntz and Wilke 2009; Bieszk-Stolorz and Markowicz 2015b] and in case of disabled people [Dànàcicà and Cîrnu 2014]. The size of the place where the person lives has a marked influence on unemployment duration. Citizens of big cities have higher chances of getting work [Kupets 2006] and the longest time of seeking work characterises small towns [Kołodziejczak and Wysocki 2013, data: BAEL, 2006–2009, input-output analysis on the labour market IOA; Grogan and van den Berg 2001]. Persons that took part in professional activation programmes have shorter duration of unemployment [Bieszk-Stolorz and Markowicz 2015a, data: Local Labour Office in Koszalin, 2011, Kaplan-Meier estimator, uncontinuous regression model], however the reverse phenomenon was observed for women in Korea [Lee and Lee 2005]. Positive effects were observed after 12 months from registration [Richardson and van den Berg 2013]. Some authors obtained results confirming that the ratio of persons deregistered because of getting work diminishes
when the unemployment duration increases [Rotaru 2014; Daras and Jerzak 2005; Long 2009]. Higher qualified persons have greater chances of getting work [Rotaru 2014] and also persons that had higher wage in their previous work [Sasaki, Kohara, and Machikita 2013, data: Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, 2005, adjustment functions, Cox proportional hazard model].

A reverse dependency could be observed in the case of higher benefits obtained without work such as rent allowance, parental allowance or benefits for disabled people [Carroll 2006, data: Household, Income and Labour Dynamics in Australia Survey, 2001–2002, Cox proportional hazard model]. Analyses of the influence of the level of discomfort on account of work loss on unemployment duration are relatively rarely conducted. This subject was examined by Mavridis [2015]. He argues that a higher level of discomfort causes a shortening of the unemployment duration. Other factors that can have an influence on unemployment duration are as follows: time of starting of seeking work, reason for leaving previous work, skills and qualifications, profession practised in a previous job and nationality (ethnicity) [Bosworth 1992].

2. Applied method

Data analysis was conducted by means of correspondence analysis, one of the methods of multidimensional analysis. Correspondence analysis is a statistical technique which is useful to researchers and professionals who collect categorical data. The method is particularly helpful in analysing cross tabular data in the form of numerical frequencies and results in an elegant but simple graphical display which permits more rapid interpretation and understanding of the data [Greenacre 2007; Andersen 1994]. Its main goal is to identify structural relationships between variables (variables and objects) when there are no a priori expectations as to the nature of those relationships. An important feature of correspondence analysis is the multivariate treatment of the data through simultaneous consideration of multiple categorical variables. The multivariate nature of correspondence analysis can reveal relationships that would not be detected in a series of pair wise comparisons of variables. Another important feature is the graphical display of row and column points in biplots which can help in detecting structural relationships amongst the variable categories and objects [Greenacre and Hastie 1987]. The table of numerical information is transformed into a graphical display in which each row and each column is depicted as a point. Correspondence analysis has highly flexible data requirements. The only strict data requirement is a rectangular data matrix with non-negative entries. There are several different ways of defining correspondence analysis, usually as a least squares method of data analysis also perceived and applied as a descriptive technique, a formal model, called a „canonical model”, using maximum likelihood parameter estimation [Greenacre 2000].
In a simple (classical, standard) correspondence analysis we start from the formulation of contingency table $N$ (called also the primitive table) in which elements $n_{ij}$ reflect the simultaneous occurrence of categories $i$ and $j$ of two variables $X$ and $Y$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, I; j = 1, 2, \ldots, J$) [Panek 2009; Stanimir 2005; Ostasiewicz 1998]. The independence of two categorical variables could be tested by means of the Pearson $\chi^2$ statistic given by formula (1).

$$
\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{(n_{ij} - \hat{n}_{ij})^2}{\hat{n}_{ij}},
$$

(1)

where:

$\hat{n}_{ij}$ – expected number of occurrences of categories $i$ and $j$.

Marginal numbers of rows and columns are denoted respectively by $n_{i*}$, $n_{*j}$:

$$
n_{i*} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} n_{ij}, \quad n_{*j} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} n_{ij}
$$

(2)

Then we derive a matrix of relative frequencies $P = \left[ \frac{n_{ij}}{n} \right]$ called the correspondence matrix. Marginal frequencies of rows and columns are denoted respectively by $p_{i*}$, $p_{*j}$:

$$
p_{i*} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} p_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{n_{ij}}{n} = \frac{n_{i*}}{n}, \quad p_{*j} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} p_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \frac{n_{ij}}{n} = \frac{n_{*j}}{n}
$$

(3)

where: $n = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{i=1}^{I} n_{ij}$

We receive vectors of marginal frequencies respectively for rows and columns:

$$
r = [p_{i*}], \quad c = [p_{*j}].
$$

(4)

Expected numbers are calculated using the following formula:

$$
\hat{n}_{ij} = n \cdot p_{i*} \cdot p_{*j}
$$

(5)

Then we construct matrices of profiles of rows and columns:

$$
\left[ \begin{array}{c} n_{ij} \\ n_{i*} \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} p_{ij} \\ p_{i*} \end{array} \right] = D_r^{-1} p, \quad \left[ \begin{array}{c} n_{ij} \\ n_{*j} \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} p_{ij} \\ p_{*j} \end{array} \right] = D_c^{-1} p,
$$

(6)

where $D_r$ and $D_c$ are diagonal matrices with elements respectively $p_{i*}$, $p_{*j}$.
The marginal frequencies respectively of rows and columns in profile matrices $D_r$ and $D_c$ are called average row and column profiles and represent centroids. Distances between row profiles (column profiles) are calculated as weighted Euclidean distances:

$$d(i, i') = \sum_{j=1}^{I} \frac{1}{p_{i,j}} \left( \frac{p_{ij}}{p_i} - \frac{p_{ij}}{p_{i\ast}} \right), \quad d(j, j') = \sum_{i=1}^{J} \frac{1}{p_{\ast,j}} \left( \frac{p_{ij}}{p_{\ast,j}} - \frac{p_{ij}}{p_{\ast}} \right),$$

whereas weights we use respectively show the marginal frequencies of columns and rows.

The above distances are also $\chi^2$ distances which can be used for the calculation of inertia – a measure of differentiation of elements in the data matrix. Total inertia enables the assessment of the dispersion level of row (column) profiles around their centroids, and shows the differences between particular row (column) profiles and their average profiles:

$$\lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \chi_i^2 \cdot p_{\ast,j} \ (\text{for rows}), \quad \lambda_j = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \chi_j^2 \cdot p_{i\ast} \ (\text{for columns}),$$

where:

$\chi_i^2$ – chi-square distance between row $i$ and respective centroid,

$\chi_j^2$ – chi-square distance between column $j$ and respective centroid.

We can prove that the inertia for rows and columns are equal and they are also equal to total inertia:

$$\lambda_i = \lambda_j = \lambda.$$ 

Higher values of total inertia indicate a higher dispersion of points which represent profiles around the centre of the coordinated axis.

If we are going to analyse row and column profiles at the same time we have to transform matrix $P$ into matrix $A$ called the matrix of standardized differences:

$$A = [a_{ij}],$$

where:

$$a_{ij} = \frac{p_{ij} - p_{i\ast}p_{\ast,j}}{\sqrt{p_{i\ast}p_{\ast,j}}}.$$ 

To calculate the coordinates of points representing categories of variables in a chosen dimension we have to provide a decomposition of matrix $A$ (decomposition of the total inertia):
\[ A = D^{-1/2}_r (P - rc^T) D^{-1/2}_c = U \Gamma V^T, \]  

where:

- \( \Gamma \) – diagonal matrix of non-zero singular values of matrices \( AA^T \) and \( A^T A \) composed in descending order,
- \( U(V) \) – matrix of singular vectors which correspond with square roots of eigenvalues of matrix \( A^T A \) (\( AA^T \)).

The relationship between value of \( \chi^2 \) statistic and singular values \( \gamma_k \) presents formula (12):

\[ \text{tr} A^T A = \text{tr} AA^T = \frac{\chi^2}{n} = \lambda = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_k^2. \]  

Rows of matrices \( F \) and \( G \) are designated respectively for categories of rows and columns whilst their columns for coordinates on consecutive main axes:

\[ F = D^{-1/2}_r U \Gamma, \quad G = D^{-1/2}_c V \Gamma. \]  

The real space of the presentation of dependencies between categories for two variables cannot be greater than \( \min(I - 1; J - 1) \). In the current study the number of dimensions for graphic presentation was established on the base of the share of inertia for consecutive dimensions in the total inertia [Stanimir 2005].

In order to evaluate the results of correspondence analysis one can use such criteria as Quality and Relative inertion. Quality shows the quality of representation of given point on the coordinate plane. Quality is equal to the ratio of the squared distance from a given point to the origin of the coordinate space of the chosen dimension and the squared distance from a given point to the origin of the coordinate space of the maximum dimension. Relative inertion represents the share of total inertia explained by a given point. It includes the share of a given row point in inertia explained by the given dimension.

### 3. Specification of variables

The data included 25,854 persons registered in the Local Labour Office in Szczecin as unemployed from 1.01.2014 to 28.02.2015. These persons were observed over 12 months. Unemployment duration is the time from the day of registration to the day of taking the first job after registration. Persons de-registered for other than work reasons (for example retirement) were excluded from the sample.

In the next section the categories of the analysed variables are presented. Their structures can be found in the Tables 1–6.
Unemployment duration

Table 1. Codes and structure of Unemployment duration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UD1 – up to 1 month</td>
<td>2,296</td>
<td>8.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD2 – over 1 month up to 3 months</td>
<td>3,027</td>
<td>11.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD3 – over 3 months up to 6 months</td>
<td>2,349</td>
<td>9.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD4 – over 6 months up to 9 months</td>
<td>1,666</td>
<td>6.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD5 – over 9 months up to 12 months</td>
<td>1,037</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD6 – no work up to 12 months from registration</td>
<td>15,479</td>
<td>59.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Own calculations on the basis of data of the Local Labour Office in Szczecin.

Sex

Table 2. Codes and structure of Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F – female</td>
<td>11,603</td>
<td>44.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M – male</td>
<td>14,251</td>
<td>55.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: As in Table 1.

Work seniority

Table 3. Codes and structure of Work seniority

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WS0 – up to 1 month</td>
<td>10,302</td>
<td>39.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS1 – over 1 month up to 1 year</td>
<td>2,786</td>
<td>10.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS2 – over 1 year up to 5 years</td>
<td>4,601</td>
<td>17.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS3 – over 5 years up to 10 years</td>
<td>3,088</td>
<td>11.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS4 – over 10 years up to 20 years</td>
<td>2,222</td>
<td>8.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS5 – over 20 years up to 30 years</td>
<td>2,124</td>
<td>8.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS6 – over 30 years</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: As in Table 1.
Age

Table 4. Codes and structure of Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1 – up to 24 years</td>
<td>3,443</td>
<td>13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 – 25 years up to 34 years</td>
<td>8,708</td>
<td>33.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3 – 35 years up to 44 years</td>
<td>5,410</td>
<td>20.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4 – 45 years up to 54 years</td>
<td>3,724</td>
<td>14.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5 – 55 years and over</td>
<td>4,569</td>
<td>17.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: As in Table 1.

Level of education

Table 5. Codes and structure of Level of education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ED1 – primary, lower secondary</td>
<td>6,337</td>
<td>24.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED2 – basic vocational</td>
<td>5,649</td>
<td>21.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED3 – general secondary</td>
<td>4,481</td>
<td>17.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED4 – vocational secondary</td>
<td>3,558</td>
<td>13.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED5 – tertiary</td>
<td>5,829</td>
<td>22.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: As in Table 1.

Type of undertaken employment

Table 6. Codes and structure of Type of employment undertaken

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMP0 – no work</td>
<td>13,998</td>
<td>54.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP1 – starting work</td>
<td>9,888</td>
<td>38.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP2 – starting own business</td>
<td>1,185</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP3 – starting work with funds for disabled persons</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP4 – starting work or own economic activity with settlement or employment voucher; starting work with refinancing of wage of unemployed over 55</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP5 – intervention or public works</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: As in Table 1.
4. Empirical results

Selected results of the one dimensional and multidimensional correspondence analysis are presented in Figures 1–5 and Tables 7–10. It turned out that in applying the criterion described in Part 3 the two–dimensional space was the most appropriate for the interpretation of the results. All calculations were made by means of STATISTICA.

![Figure 1. Relationship between unemployment duration and work seniority](image)

**Figure 1. Relationship between unemployment duration and work seniority**
Source: As in Table 1

**Table 7. Eigenvalues and inertia for all dimensions – unemployment duration and work seniority**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Singular Values</th>
<th>Eigenvalues</th>
<th>Percent of Inertia</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
<th>Chi–Squares</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>91.94</td>
<td>91.94</td>
<td>638.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>99.11</td>
<td>49.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>99.72</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>99.87</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Inertia = 0.02687, $\chi^2 = 694.66$, df = 25, p = 0.000

Source: As in Table 1.
The value of statistic $\chi^2 = 694.66$ permits the rejection of the null hypothesis about the independence of unemployment duration and work seniority. The shortest unemployment duration (UD1 and UD2) occurred in case of unemployed persons with a quite short work seniority: from 1 to 5 years (WS2). Unemployment duration increases along with work seniority and most often concerns unemployed persons with work seniority up to 1 year (WS0) and with work seniority over 30 years (WS6). The analysis did not prove that a relationship exists between unemployment duration and sex.

Figure 2. Relationship between unemployment duration and age

Source: As in Table 1

Table 8. Eigenvalues and inertia for all dimensions – unemployment duration and age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Singular Values</th>
<th>Eigenvalues</th>
<th>Percent of Inertia</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
<th>Chi-Squares</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>93.04</td>
<td>93.04</td>
<td>346.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>97.08</td>
<td>15.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>99.77</td>
<td>10.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: As in Table 1.
The value of statistic $\chi^2 = 372.59$ permits the rejection of the null hypothesis about the independence of unemployment duration and age. Short unemployment duration concerned unemployed persons aged 25–34 (A2). Amongst persons that did not undertake work for 12 months from the day of registration persons up to 24 years (A1) and persons over 55 years (A5) dominated. Quite different results were obtained in the study concerning the depreciation of human capital of unemployed persons registered in the Local Labour Office in Szczecin in 2012 and observed to the end of 2013. It means that some changes in this relationship occurred [Bieszk-Stolorz 2015].

![Figure 3. Relationship between unemployment duration and level of education](source)

Source: As in Table 1

Table 9. Eigenvalues and inertia for all dimensions – unemployment duration and level of education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Singular Values</th>
<th>Eigenvalues</th>
<th>Percent of Inertia</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
<th>Chi-Squares</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>98.62</td>
<td>98.62</td>
<td>1,686.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>99.45</td>
<td>14.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>99.80</td>
<td>6.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Inertia $= 0.6616, \chi^2 = 1,710.4, df = 20, p = 0.000$

Source: As in Table 1.
The value of statistic $\chi^2 = 1710.4$ permits the rejection of the null hypothesis about the independence of unemployment duration and level of education. It could be observed (Figure 3) that amongst people without employment for 12 months (UD6) the biggest group consisted of persons with a primary or lower secondary level of education (ED1). At the same time the analysis did not prove the positive impact of higher education in the shortening of the period of unemployment. Slightly different results were obtained in the study concerning the depreciation of human capital of unemployed persons registered in the Local Labour Office in Szczecin in 2012 and observed to the end of 2013. Persons with a tertiary level of education were characterized by the high intensity of leaving unemployment at the beginning and by a fast decrease with an increasing duration of unemployment [Bieszk-Stolorz 2015].

![Figure 4. Relationship between unemployment duration and type of undertaken employment](image)

**Figure 4. Relationship between unemployment duration and type of undertaken employment**

*Source: As in Table 1*
55 (EMP4). Persons that started work (EMP1) or work with funds for disabled persons (EMP3) had a longer unemployment duration (UD2–UD5). Persons that started their own business (EMP2) constituted a separate group.

Figure 5 presents the results of the multidimensional correspondence analysis for all analysed variables simultaneously.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 5. Relationship between unemployment duration and all analysed variables (multidimensional correspondence analysis)**

Source: As in Table 1.

From the results obtained by means of multidimensional correspondence analysis it is clear that there is a strong relationship between the time from registration and the first employment and the characteristics of the unemployed
persons. The lack of a positive result in seeking work (EMP0 and UD6) characterises young persons (A1 – up to 24 years) with a primary or lower secondary level of education (ED1) and very short work seniority (WS0 – up to 1 month). Over half of these persons were men. Persons with a tertiary level of education (ED5) find work most often but not an intervention or public work (EMP5).

One could also observe that:
- persons with no work seniority very often did not undertake work at all,
- persons with work seniority from 1 to 20 years dominated amongst persons that undertook work or started their own business,
- persons that undertook work were in the age range 25–44,
- persons with a primary, lower secondary, basic vocational and general secondary level of education most often did not undertake work or undertook only intervention or public work,
- persons that most often did not find work were men with no work seniority or work seniority up to 1 year and with a primary or lower secondary level of education,
- persons that undertook intervention or public work most often were persons over 45 and with work seniority over 10 years.

Conclusions

The results obtained proved the existence of strong relationships between the characteristics of unemployed persons and unemployment duration. The most visible associations are between age, work seniority and type of employment undertaken on the one hand and unemployment duration on the other. The study did not prove the influence of sex on unemployment duration.

The most important suggestion for labour offices is the necessity to pay attention especially to the young (up to 24) and older (over 55) persons that have the smallest chances of finding work. It is consistent with the level of employment in Zachodniopomorskie Voivodship which is a little above 20% in the groups mentioned whilst in the rest of the active population this rate exceeds 70%.

In further research it is worth thinking about conducting analyses concerning the relationship between the propensity to change the place of domicile because of undertaking work and the duration of unemployment. Previous studies usually indicate the lack of this kind of relationship whereas the propensity to migrate “for work” increases in case of loss of work of the spouse and in the case of the lack of unemployment benefits [Ahn, de la Rica, and Ugidos 1999]. The regional differences in unemployment duration and the influence of business cycles and professional activation programmes could be also examined. Previous analyses confirm strong influence of place of living on unemployment duration [Rotaru 2014; Mavridis 2015].
The other area of research could be a comparison of the results of correspondence analysis and results obtained by means of other multidimensional methods, for example, classification trees that illustrate the series of relationships between the characteristics of unemployed persons. It would also be possible to conduct an examination over a longer (several consecutive years) period of registered unemployed persons, look for the determinants of unemployment duration according to economic crises and make international comparisons.
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